United Nations CAC/COSP/IRG/2018/9

Conference of the States Parties to the United Nations Convention against Corrup

Distr.: General
14 September 2018

Original: English

Implementation Review Group
Second resumed ninth session
Vienna, 12–14 November 2018
Item 2 of the provisional agenda*
Review of implementation of the United Nations Convention against Corruption

Set of non-binding recommendations and conclusions based on lessons learned regarding the implementation of chapters III and IV of the United Nations Convention against Corruption

Note by the Secretariat

Summary

In paragraph 11 of its resolution 6/1, the Conference of the States Parties to the United Nations Convention against Corruption requested the Implementation Review Group to analyse the information on successes, good practices, challenges, observations and technical assistance needs emanating from the country reviews of the first review cycle, considering the thematic implementation report prepared in accordance with paragraph 35 of the terms of reference, and to submit a set of non-binding recommendations and conclusions based on lessons learned regarding the implementation of chapters III and IV of the Convention to the Conference for its consideration and approval at its seventh session. In its decision 7/1, the Conference took note of the set of non-binding recommendations and conclusions, as reviewed by the Implementation Review Group at its resumed eighth session. At that session, it was noted that the set of non-binding recommendations and conclusions would be made available at future sessions of the Group to inform further discussion. Accordingly, the set of non-binding recommendations and conclusions, as contained in the present note, is herewith brought to the attention of the Group for its consideration.

* CAC/COSP/IRG/2018/1/Add.2

V.18-06017 (E) 190918 200918

Please recycle

I. Introduction

1. In paragraph 11 of its resolution 6/1, the Conference of the States Parties to the United Nations Convention against Corruption requested the Implementation Review Group to analyse the information on successes, good practices, challenges, observations and technical assistance needs emanating from the country reviews of the first review cycle, considering the thematic implementation report prepared in accordance with paragraph 35 of the terms of reference of the Mechanism for the Review of Implementation of the Convention, and to submit a set of non-binding recommendations and conclusions based on lessons learned regarding the implementation of chapters III and IV of the Convention to the Conference for its consideration and approval at its seventh session.

2. On the basis of that mandate, the Secretariat submitted a set of non-binding recommendations and conclusions (see CAC/COSP/2017/5) to the Conference for its consideration and approval at its seventh session. In its decision 7/1, the Conference took note of the set of non-binding recommendations and conclusions, as reviewed by the Implementation Review Group at its resumed eighth session. At that session, it was noted that the set of non-binding recommendations and conclusions would be made available at future sessions of the Group to inform further discussion.

3. Accordingly, the set of non-binding recommendations and conclusions, as contained in the present note, is herewith brought to the attention of the Group for its consideration. The note reflects comments received from States parties in response to a discussion paper (CAC/COSP/IRG/2017/3) that was made available to States parties for comments before the seventh session of the Conference and included in the discussions of the Working Group on Prevention of Corruption and the Working Group on Asset Recovery, as well as in response to document CAC/COSP/2017/5. The present note reflects the responses received to date from the following States parties: Brunei Darussalam, China, Ecuador, Germany, Guatemala, Hungary, Israel, Myanmar, Pakistan, Panama, Paraguay, Poland, Romania, Russian Federation, Serbia, Switzerland and United States of America.

II. Recommendations issued and good practices identified regarding the implementation of chapters III and IV of the Convention

4. The selection of articles in the tables below is based on a quantitative analysis of observations made and good practices identified regarding the implementation of chapter III (table 1) and chapter IV (table 2) of the Convention. The observations and good practices have in part been reformulated in order to make them more broadly applicable and capture the essence of a wider range of country-specific observations, without changing their overall content and meaning. Table 3 contains observations relating to the overall effectiveness of the Implementation Review Mechanism.

Table 1
Most prevalent observations and good practices regarding chapter III (Criminalization and law enforcement)

Articles of the Convention	*Observations*	*Good practices*
All articles: general and cross-cutting recommendations	Strengthen, as appropriate, the collection and availability of statistical data on the implementation of anti-corruption measures across institutions, in particular statistical data on investigations, prosecutions and adjudications, for example through the creation of a national crime register or other mechanisms, which could also be made accessible to other States parties. Ensure that all categories of persons set out in art. 2(a) of the Convention are covered as public officials in the legislation. Consider consolidating or simplifying the legal framework to criminalize corruption offences, and consider clarifying interpretative principles. Continue to devote adequate resources and attention to capacity-building for authorities responsible for combating corruption and conducting financial investigations, including by undertaking a comprehensive assessment of technical assistance needs where needed. Sufficient resources should be made available to address capacity constraints in the areas of investigation, prosecution, and adjudication of cases.	
Bribery offences and trading in influence (arts. 15, 16, 18 and 21)	More clearly delineate all elements of the articles of the Convention, to ensure in particular that all modalities of the commission of an offence (promise, offer, giving, solicitation, acceptance), as well as third-party beneficiaries and indirect acts are covered, in accordance with the fundamental principles of the domestic law. Ensure that the subjects of the offence include all categories of persons listed in art. 2 of the Convention (see also above). Expand the objects of the offence, in particular as regards non-material benefits and payments or gratuities to expedite or facilitate an otherwise lawful administrative act or procedure.[a]	Wide scope of application of anti-bribery legislation to national and foreign public officials and officials of public international organizations, as well as to the private sector.

[a] See also, United Nations, Interpretative notes for the official records (travaux préparatoires) of the negotiation of the United Nations Convention against Corruption, 7 October 2003, A/58/422/Add.1, under article 16, para. 1.

Articles of the Convention	*Observations*	*Good practices*
	Where national legislation contains exceptions or defences concerning, e.g., immunities for spontaneous confessions, the attempted commission of the offence, and acts committed with lawful authority or reasonable justification, align such exceptions with the requirements of the Convention, consistent with art. 30, para. 9, of the Convention.	
	With respect to bribery of foreign public officials and officials of public international organizations (art. 16), criminalize the active version and consider criminalizing the passive version of the offence and devote adequate attention to enforcement.	
	With respect to trading in influence (art. 18), a non-mandatory offence, consider adopting a specific offence, separate from bribery, covering all elements of art. 18, in particular the abuse of real or supposed influence.	
	With respect to bribery in the private sector (art. 21), a non-mandatory offence, consider applying the offence to any person who directs or works in any capacity for a private sector entity.	
Laundering of proceeds of crime (art. 23)	Include as predicate offences at least a comprehensive range of offences established in accordance with the Convention, whether committed within or outside the jurisdiction of the State party in question.	Comprehensive legal framework and "all crimes approach", despite not being specified by the Convention; anti-money-laundering regulations in place and enforced.
	Ensure that all modalities of the commission of the offence in para. 1 are covered.	
	Strengthen enforcement and address issues of overlapping mandates and challenges in coordination among the competent authorities responsible for money-laundering cases related to the proceeds of offences under the Convention.	
Statute of limitations (art. 29)	Establish a limitations period that gives adequate time for the completion of the full judicial process, including investigation, prosecution and adjudication, and establish a longer period or suspend its application where the offender has evaded the administration of justice.	Sufficiently long limitations period to allow for investigations and prosecutions of offences under the Convention; interruption or suspension mechanisms.

Articles of the Convention	*Observations*	*Good practices*
Prosecution, adjudication and sanctions (art. 30)	Ensure the efficiency, proportionality and dissuasive effect of sanctions for offences under the Convention, including by considering a more coherent approach in the sanctioning of offences (e.g. the harmonization of penalties according to the gravity of offences and across different anti-corruption laws); also consider adopting sentencing principles and monitoring the imposition of punishment, including, where applicable, plea bargains and out-of-court settlements (art. 30, para. 1), bearing in mind the independence of the judiciary. Establish a greater balance between immunities or jurisdictional privileges accorded to public officials and the possibility of effectively investigating, prosecuting and adjudicating offences under the Convention; in particular review the procedures for lifting immunities to avoid potential delays, the loss of evidence and any unnecessary obstacles preventing investigative steps from being taken before immunities are lifted (art. 30, para. 2). Consider adopting measures for the disqualification of persons convicted of offences under the Convention from holding public office (art. 30, para. 7).	Innovative mechanisms to calculate fines and sentences (such as calculating fines based on the benefits obtained and intended), and the existence of guidelines or practice directives for prosecutors and judges providing instructions on the application of penalties depending, inter alia, on the gravity of the corresponding offence, with due respect for the independence of the judiciary. Appropriate balance concerning criminal immunities for offences under the Convention and the successful investigations or prosecutions of public officials.
Freezing, seizure and confiscation (art. 31)	Take measures to enable confiscation of proceeds of crime derived from all offences under the Convention, including value-based confiscation. Expand the definition of proceeds of crime to ensure that all proceeds, property, equipment and instrumentalities as defined in the Convention are subject to the measures in art. 31. Strengthen the capacity of competent authorities and adopt mechanisms to swiftly trace, seize and freeze property and ensure that interim measures leading to confiscation apply to all offences under the Convention. Strengthen the administration of frozen, seized and confiscated property, in particular in the case of complex assets, and consider the establishment of a dedicated asset management office.	Comprehensive legislation for the confiscation of proceeds of crime, including value-based and non-conviction-based confiscation, and effective application of the legal framework in practice. Institutional arrangements, including coordination and the exchange of information among authorities, leading to successful confiscation cases, and the existence of specialized authorities dedicated to the administration of seized and confiscated assets. Confiscation may be ordered even if the offender cannot be convicted;

Articles of the Convention	*Observations*	*Good practices*
		shifting evidentiary standards or presumptions facilitating confiscation.
Protection of witnesses, experts and victims (art. 32)	Strengthen the effective protection of witnesses, experts and victims, as well as their relatives or associates, as appropriate, in particular by adopting a legal and institutional framework on witness protection and by means of adequate enforcement and funding. The framework for such protection should offer all necessary forms of protection, including physical protection and evidentiary rules to permit witnesses and experts to give testimony in a manner that ensures their safety. Consider adopting a witness protection programme. Extend the scope of witness protection measures to all offences under the Convention. Strengthen the participation of victims in criminal proceedings (art. 32, para. 5).	
Specialized authorities (art. 36)		The establishment, where feasible and consistent with national priorities, of a specialized anti-corruption authority, a specialized anti-corruption unit within the police force and the prosecution service, and/or a specialized anti-corruption court. Specific mandate and independence mechanisms, as well as adequate capacity and resources for the specialized authorities. Operational measures to enhance effectiveness (e.g. information-sharing, inter-agency coordination, collection and use of relevant data, clear policy guidance,

Articles of the Convention	*Observations*	*Good practices*
		Inter-agency task forces to address corruption in certain sectors) leading to increased investigations and prosecutions.
Cooperation with law enforcement authorities (art. 37)	Adopt measures to encourage the cooperation of offenders in investigations and prosecutions, including by offering the possibility of mitigated punishment, plea bargaining or immunity from prosecution, and ensure such persons are subject to the protections in art. 32 of the Convention.	
Cooperation between national authorities (art. 38)		Effective cooperation mechanisms among the investigating and prosecuting institutions and public authorities, including through the exchange of personnel and information.
		Establishment of centralized bodies or mechanisms to facilitate coordination; inter-agency agreements and arrangements.
Cooperation between national authorities and the private sector (art. 39)		Active engagement of public authorities with the private sector, in particular through efficient information transfer mechanisms between investigative authorities and financial institutions, and through training of private sector entities on prevention measures and awareness-raising.
		Mechanisms to facilitate access to information by law enforcement authorities and to encourage the reporting of corruption.

Articles of the Convention	*Observations*	*Good practices*
		Establishment of bodies or mechanisms to facilitate cooperation, including integrity pacts and agreements or arrangements.

Table 2
Most prevalent observations and good practices regarding chapter IV (International cooperation)

Articles of the Convention	*Observations*	*Good practices*
All articles: general and cross-cutting recommendations	Consider the allocation of adequate resources to further strengthen the efficiency and capacity of international cooperation mechanisms.	Provision of training to practitioners, in particular law enforcement and judicial officers, regarding applicable laws, procedures and time frames to be followed in international cooperation cases, including the determination of dual criminality. Active participation in international and regional networks, platforms and forums aimed at promoting international cooperation. Efficient use of technology and electronic databases to track, monitor and follow up on international cooperation requests.
Extradition (art. 44)	Ensure that all offences established in accordance with the Convention are extraditable, such as by: (a) Using the Convention as a legal basis for cooperation on extradition; (b) Revising the minimum penalty thresholds for extradition or the lists of extraditable offences in domestic	Interpretation of the dual criminality requirement in extradition cases, focusing on the underlying conduct and not the legal denomination of the offence. Expedition of extradition proceedings, consistent with treaty

Articles of the Convention	*Observations*	*Good practices*
	legislation in case of the strict application of dual criminality requirements; (c) Interpreting the dual criminality requirement, focusing on the underlying conduct rather than the strict terminology of offences; and (d) Reviewing or concluding bilateral or multilateral extradition agreements and arrangements to cover all offences under the Convention.	requirements, through direct contacts between central authorities and use of electronic or other communication channels and networks.
Extradition and mutual legal assistance (arts. 44 and 46)	Ensure the quality, efficiency and effectiveness of national frameworks on international cooperation, including by putting in place and rendering fully operational information systems compiling in a systematic manner information on requests for extradition, mutual legal assistance and other forms of international cooperation, with a view to facilitating the monitoring of such requests, assessing the effectiveness of the implementation of international cooperation arrangements, and gathering comprehensive statistics. Make or update the requisite notifications to the United Nations as to: (a) Whether the State party takes the Convention as the legal basis for cooperation on extradition (art. 44, para. 6); (b) The designation of a central authority for mutual legal assistance (art. 46, para. 13); (c) The languages acceptable for mutual legal assistance requests (art. 46, para. 14).	Development or effective use of manuals, guidelines, checklists, dedicated platforms or model requests for extradition and mutual legal assistance with a view to providing administrative and legal certainty for making, processing and executing requests. Use of the Convention either as a legal basis for extradition and mutual legal assistance or as a tool to facilitate extradition and mutual legal assistance. The designation of central authorities for extradition and the identification of focal points for specialized areas of cooperation, such as money-laundering or asset recovery, and notification of whether the State party takes the Convention as the legal basis for cooperation on mutual legal assistance.
Grounds for refusing extradition (art. 44, para. 8)	Specify the conditions and grounds for refusing extradition more clearly in the national legislation.	

Articles of the Convention	*Observations*	*Good practices*
Procedure for extradition and mutual legal assistance (art. 44, para. 9 and art. 46, para. 24)	Ensure that extradition proceedings are carried out in the shortest possible time, and simplify and streamline procedures and evidentiary requirements relating thereto. Similarly, expedite the execution of mutual legal assistance requests.	
Consultations with requesting States parties (art. 44, para. 17 and art. 46, para. 26)	Engage in consultations with requesting States before refusing extradition and mutual legal assistance requests.	Consultations and communication with requesting States on a continuing basis, throughout the mutual legal assistance process, and involving central and law enforcement authorities, as applicable.
Mutual legal assistance (art. 46)		Provision of mutual legal assistance in the absence of dual criminality, consistent with treaty requirements and domestic law. Close communication and consultations between central authorities for mutual legal assistance, including the possibility of the requested authority accepting and reviewing requests before submission of a formal request. Application of formal requirements for the execution of mutual legal assistance requests in such a manner as to afford the widest measure of assistance (such as applying seals on translated documents, translation, etc.).
Spontaneous sharing of information (art. 46, paras. 4 and 5)	Allow for or expand the practice of spontaneous transmission, i.e. without a prior request, of information that could assist in undertaking or successfully concluding investigations and criminal proceedings in other States parties or could result in	

Articles of the Convention	*Observations*	*Good practices*
	formal mutual legal assistance requests being made by other States parties.	
Non-coercive mutual legal assistance in the absence of dual criminality (art. 46, para. 9)	Ensure that mutual legal assistance that does not involve coercive action can be provided even in the absence of dual criminality.	
Transfer of sentenced persons and transfer of criminal proceedings (arts. 45 and 47)	Establish a legal and procedural framework for the transfer of sentenced persons and the transfer of criminal proceedings, and consider entering into relevant bilateral or multilateral agreements.	
Law enforcement cooperation, joint investigations (arts. 48 and 49)	Take steps to enhance law enforcement cooperation and conclude agreements or arrangements to allow the competent authorities responsible for the investigation of corruption offences to establish joint investigative teams with law enforcement agencies in other jurisdictions.	Specialized capacities for cross-border law enforcement cooperation, in particular through the organization of joint anti-corruption training workshops and capacity-building exchange programmes (art. 48). Active use of joint investigation teams in transnational corruption cases, where feasible and consistent with national priorities (art. 49).
Special investigative techniques (art. 50)	Take measures to allow competent authorities to use special investigative techniques, to regulate their use and to ensure the protection and admissibility in court of evidence derived therefrom.	Wide use and application of special investigative techniques in corruption cases both domestically and internationally, in accordance with the principle of proportionality and the protection of fundamental rights.

Table 3
General observations and recommendations regarding the Implementation Review Mechanism

Articles of the Convention	*Observations*
General and cross-cutting recommendations	The Conference should continue to consider addressing unexpected challenges pertaining to the funding shortfall and delays in country reviews arising during future phases of the Implementation Review Mechanism. With a view to conserving resources and ensuring the timely completion of country reviews, the Conference should consider streamlining, in future phases of the Mechanism, the amount of information solicited from States parties, for example by focusing on updating information provided during the first review cycle or limiting the length of responses or supporting documents to the self-assessment checklist. The Conference should continue to work to improve the transparency and availability of information gathered during future phases of the Implementation Review Mechanism, building on the thematic reports produced by the secretariat, to provide more detailed information in areas such as individual country experiences and technical assistance needs.

Conference of the States Parties to the United Nations Convention against Corruption

Distr.: General
17 September 2018

Original: English

Implementation Review Group
Second resumed ninth session
Vienna, 12–14 November 2018
Item 4 of the provisional agenda*
Technical assistance

Draft non-binding guidelines on the management of frozen, seized and confiscated assets

Note by the Secretariat

In its resolution 7/3, the Conference of the States Parties to the United Nations Convention against Corruption recommended that the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC) take into account the priority areas for technical assistance identified during the course of the Implementation Review Mechanism in the development, implementation and, if required, revisions of its thematic, regional and country programmes. One of the priority areas identified was the implementation of article 31 of the Convention against Corruption, on freezing, seizure and confiscation. To address that priority area, the Secretariat prepared a study entitled *Effective Management and Disposal of Seized and Confiscated Assets*.

In its resolution 7/1, the Conference encouraged States parties and UNODC to continue sharing experiences on the management of frozen, seized and confiscated assets, identifying best practices as necessary and building on existing resources, and to consider developing non-binding guidelines on that issue. In accordance with that resolution, the Secretariat, on the basis of the above-mentioned study, developed a set of draft non-binding guidelines on the management of frozen, seized and confiscated assets and submitted them for consideration by the Implementation Review Group at its ninth session and the Open-ended Intergovernmental Working Group on Asset Recovery at its twelfth session. At those sessions, many speakers welcomed the guidelines and expressed the view that more time should be allocated for, inter alia, holding discussions on them.

The draft non-binding guidelines, as contained in document CAC/COSP/WG.2/2018/3, are therefore brought to the attention of the Group for its consideration.

In order to facilitate the discussions, the Secretariat will also make available to the Group two conference room papers, reflecting specific proposals received from States parties to amend the draft guidelines (CAC/COSP/IRG/2018/CRP.14) and transmitting the comments made by States parties in the form in which they were received by the Secretariat (CAC/COSP/IRG/2018/CRP.15).

* CAC/COSP/IRG/2018/1/Add.2.

Please recycle

United Nations CAC/COSP/IRG/2018/11

Conference of the States Parties to the United Nations Convention against Corruption

Distr.: General
14 September 2018

Original: English

Implementation Review Group
Second resumed ninth session
Vienna, 12–14 November 2018
Item 5 of the provisional agenda*
Financial and budgetary matters

Resources and expenditures for the functioning of the Mechanism for the Review of Implementation of the United Nations Convention against Corruption

Note by the Secretariat

I. Introduction

1. The present note complements the previous note by the Secretariat on resources and expenditures for the functioning of the Mechanism for the Review of Implementation of the United Nations Convention against Corruption (CAC/COSP/IRG/2018/4), which was presented at the ninth session of the Implementation Review Group.

2. It provides updated budgetary information on expenditures incurred so far for the operation of the first and second cycles of the Mechanism, resources received both from the regular budget and from voluntary contributions, projected expenditures and the current shortfall on resource requirements for the functioning of the Mechanism.

II. Regular budget resources and expenditures for the Implementation Review Mechanism

3. Table 1 presents regular budget resources and expenditures for the bienniums 2010–2011, 2012–2013, 2014–2015, 2016–2017 and 2018–2019.

* CAC/COSP/IRG/2018/1/Add.2.

V.18-06118 (E) 270918 280918

Please recycle

Table 1
Interim statement of regular budget expenditures for the functioning of the Implementation Review Mechanism

(United States dollars)

Budgetary item	*Budget, 2010–2011*	*Expenditures, 2010–2011*	*Budget, 2012–2013*	*Expenditures, 2012–2013*	*Budget, 2014–2015*	*Expenditures, 2014–2015*	*Budget, 2016–2017*	*Expenditures, 2016–2017*	*Budget, 2018–2019*	*Expenditures,[a] 2018–2019*
Posts and related general operating expenses										
Posts (1 D-1, 1 P-5, 2 P-4, 1 P-3, 3 P-2 and 1 General Service (Other level), and 1 P-4 and 2 P-3 as of 2018[b])	1 458 600	1 474 100	2 497 800	2 497 000	2 634 400	2 320 800	2 341 800	2 479 800	2 970 100	998 200
Computer maintenance	23 400	23 400	26 400	35 100	26 300	17 700	31 000	31 000	44 000	25 700
Communications	27 000	27 000	30 000	30 000	30 000	23 800	30 000	30 000	41 100	24 000
Subtotal	**1 509 000**	**1 524 500**	**2 554 200**	**2 562 100**	**2 690 700**	**2 362 300**	**2 402 800**	**2 540 800**	**3 055 200**	**1 047 900**
Implementation Review Group										
Interpretation[c]	465 600	351 300	461 400	317 000	388 800	302 800	405 000	329 000	405 000	49 600
Translation of documentation[d]	472 900	534 900	401 600	2 569 400	361 400	1 440 000	1 084 000	3 776 300	1 108 200	289 800
Subtotal	**938 500**	**886 200**	**863 000**	**2 886 400**	**750 200**	**1 742 800**	**1 489 000**	**4 105 300**	**1 513 200**	**339 400**
Total	**2 447 500**	**2 410 700**	**3 417 200**	**5 448 500**	**3 440 900**	**4 105 100**	**3 891 800**	**6 646 100**	**4 568 400**	**1 387 300**

[a] Expenditures for the biennium 2018–2019 are those as at 31 July 2018.

[b] In this table, the nine posts that were authorized in the previous bienniums are costed as continuing posts and the three posts (1 P-4, 2 P-3) that were approved by the General Assembly in December 2017 are costed as new posts.

[c] With regard to the biennium 2018–2019, interpretation was provided for a total of five meetings for the ninth session of the Implementation Review Group, in June 2018. For the previous bienniums, interpretation was provided for a total of 34 meetings in the biennium 2010–2011, 28 meetings in the biennium 2012–2013, 25 meetings in the biennium 2014–2015 and 14 meetings in the biennium 2016–2017.

[d] At the ninth session of the Implementation Review Group, in June 2018, translation was provided for a total of 848 pages of documentation. For the previous bienniums, translation was provided for a total of 268 pages in the biennium 2010–2011, 1,340 pages in the biennium 2012–2013, 797 pages in the biennium 2014–2015 and 2,090 pages in the biennium 2016–2017. The higher number of pages in the bienniums 2012–2013, 2014–2015 and 2016–2017 was due to the high number of completed executive summaries of country review reports. While the budget for translation of documentation was based on the assumption that 160 countries in total would be reviewed under the first cycle, the actual number of countries being reviewed under the first cycle grew to 184 as at 31 July 2018, since more countries ratified or acceded to the Convention than had been forecasted in 2009. The Conference Management Service of the United Nations Office at Vienna was able to cover the additional expenditures for translation of documentation in the reporting period through the reallocation of existing translation resources under Section 2 of the approved regular budget. At the same time, owing to delays in the country reviews and in the finalization of the country reports and the related executive summaries, several such reports were presented to the Group as conference room papers, for which no translation was provided.

III. Estimates and extrabudgetary expenditures for the first cycle of the Implementation Review Mechanism

4. Table 2 presents estimates and final extrabudgetary expenditures for the first and fifth years, as well as estimates and interim expenditures for the second, third and fourth years, respectively, for the operation of the first cycle of the Mechanism. The first year of the Mechanism started in June 2010. Expenditures reported under the first year relate to activities undertaken with regard to the first group of 27 countries under review. The second year of the Mechanism started in June 2011. Expenditures reported under the second year relate to activities undertaken with regard to the second group of 41 countries under review. The third year of the Mechanism started in June 2012. Expenditures reported under the third year relate to activities undertaken with regard to the third group of 35 countries under review. The fourth year of the Mechanism started in June 2013. Expenditures reported under the fourth year relate to activities undertaken with regard to the fourth group of 81 countries under review. The fourth group of countries includes those that were part of the fourth group in June 2013 and those that have ratified or acceded to the Convention since June 2013. The fifth year started in June 2014 and ended in December 2017. The fifth year only covers expenditures related to staff financed through extrabudgetary resources working in support of the first cycle between June 2014 to December 2017 and the participation of least developed countries in sessions of the Implementation Review Group, which were held in 2014 and 2015. Expenditures that relate to training provided in relation to country reviews conducted after 31 May 2014 are reported under the fourth year of the Review Mechanism. Other expenditures that relate to the second, third or fourth group of countries under review are reported under the second, third and fourth year of the Review Mechanism, respectively. Expenditures that relate to countries that have ratified the Convention since June 2014 are reported under the fourth year of the Review Mechanism.

Table 2
Estimates and extrabudgetary expenditures for the five years of the first cycle of the Implementation Review Mechanism, as at 31 July 2018
(United States dollars)

Budgetary item	*Estimates for the first year*	*Expenditures for the first year*	*Estimates for the second year*	*Expenditures for the second year*	*Estimates for the third year*	*Expenditures for the third year*	*Estimates for the fourth year*	*Expenditures for the fourth year*	*Estimates for the fifth year*	*Expenditures for the fifth year*	*Estimates for all years*	*Expenditures for all years*
Travel of participants for country visits and joint meetings	607 500	607 500	758 200	753 000	632 600	609 100	1 233 800	1 120 900	-	-	3 232 100	3 090 500
Translation of working documentation	303 000	303 000	470 500	435 300	426 100	420 900	933 500	717 500	-	-	2 133 100	1 876 700
Participation of least developed countries in the sessions of the Implementation Review Group	185 900	185 900	287 000	287 000	251 700	251 700	290 500	290 500	522 000	522 000	1 537 100	1 537 100
Training of governmental experts	275 200	275 200	454 600	454 600	490 200	490 200	415 000	389 300	-	-	1 635 000	1 609 300
Consultants	99 900	99 900	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	99 900	99 900
1 P-3 post and 1 General Service (Other level) post	-	-	36 800	36 800	174 900	174 900	267 500	267 500	962 100	962 100	1 441 300	1 441 300
Computer maintenance and communications costs	-	-	1 800	1 800	7 100	7 100	7 600	7 600	24 300	24 300	40 800	40 800
Total	**1 471 500**	**1 471 500**	**2 008 900**	**1 968 500**	**1 982 600**	**1 953 900**	**3 147 900**	**2 793 300**	**1 508 400**	**1 508 400**	**10 119 300**	**9 695 600**
		First year		*Second year*		*Third year*		*Fourth year*				*All years*
Number of countries under review		**27**		**41**		**35**		**81**		**-**		**184**

IV. Estimates for the second cycle of the Implementation Review Mechanism

5. Table 3 shows the estimates and interim extrabudgetary expenditures for the operation of the first, second, third and fourth years of the second cycle. As explained in greater detail in the note by the Secretariat on resources and expenditures for the functioning of the Mechanism for the Review of Implementation of the United Nations Convention against Corruption (CAC/COSP/IRG/2018/4), the projections are based on the assumption that the cost-saving measures currently in place will continue to be applied. The first year of the second cycle of the Mechanism started in June 2016. Expenditures reported under the first year relate to activities undertaken with regard to the first group of 29 countries under review, as well as training activities and travel of UNODC experts to provide targeted assistance in countries under review until 31 June 2017. The second year of the second cycle of the Mechanism started in June 2017. Expenditures reported under the second year relate to activities undertaken with regard to the second group of 48 countries under review, as well as training activities and travel of UNODC experts to provide targeted assistance in countries under review between 1 July 2017 and 30 June 2018. The third year of the second cycle of the Mechanism started in June 2018. The projected estimates for travel of participants for country visits and joint meetings, as well as translation of working documentation, is based on 37 countries. The fourth year of the second cycle of the Mechanism starts in June 2019. The projected estimates for travel of participants for country visits and joint meetings, as well as translation of working documentation, is based on 36 countries.

Table 3

Estimates and extrabudgetary expenditures for the four years of the second cycle of the Implementation Review Mechanism

(United States dollars)

Budgetary item	*Estimates for the first year*	*Expenditures for the first year*	*Estimates for the second year*	*Expenditures for the second year*	*Estimates for the third year*	*Expenditures for the third year*	*Estimates for the fourth year*	*Expenditures for the fourth year*	*Estimates for all years*	*Expenditures for all years*
Travel of participants for country visits and joint meetings	379 600	243 100	702 800	106 900	555 300	-	540 300	-	2 178 000	350 000
Translation of working documentation	363 700	206 900	601 900	155 200	464 000	-	451 400	-	1 881 000	362 100
Participation of least developed countries in the sessions of the Implementation Review Group	309 500	309 500	243 100	243 100	311 000	123 705	311 000	-	1 174 600	676 305
Training of governmental experts	409 800	409 800	350 000	182 500	352 000	-	352 000	-	1 463 800	592 300
Travel of UNODC experts to provide targeted assistance in countries under review	58 300	58 300	58 500	21 800	58 500	-	58 500	-	233 800	80 100
Additional posts (1 P-4, 2 P-3)	313 300	313 300	207 400	207 400	-	-	-	-	520 700	520 700
Computer maintenance and communications costs	7 900	7 900	5 100	5 100	-	-	-	-	13 000	13 000
Total	**1 842 100**	**1 548 800**	**2 168 800**	**922 000**	**1 740 800**	**123 705**	**1 713 200**	-	**7 464 900**	**2 594 505**
		First year		*Second year*		*Third year*	*Fourth year*			
Number of countries under review		**29**		**48**		**37**	**36**			

V. Resource requirements for the functioning of the Implementation Review Mechanism, extrabudgetary contributions received and funding shortfall

6. As shown in tables 4 and 5, a total of $14,935,600 in voluntary contributions has been received from Australia, Austria, Brazil, Canada, China, the European Commission, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Liechtenstein, Mexico, Morocco, the Netherlands, Norway, Oman, Panama, Qatar, the Russian Federation, Saudi Arabia, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, the United States of America and the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) between 2010 and 2018. In-kind contributions have also been provided by Angola, Argentina, Armenia, Australia, Austria, the Bahamas, Bahrain, Belize, Benin, Botswana, Brazil, Bhutan, Burkina Faso, Cabo Verde, Cameroon, China, Colombia, the Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Egypt, Fiji, Gabon, Granada, Greece, Haiti, India, Israel, Italy, Kazakhstan, Kenya, Kiribati, Liechtenstein, Madagascar, Malaysia, Mauritania, Morocco, Mozambique, Nepal, Qatar, Oman, Papua New Guinea, Portugal, the Russian Federation, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Singapore, Solomon Islands, Swaziland, Tajikistan, Turkey, the United Arab Emirates, Uzbekistan and Vanuatu.

Table 4
Extrabudgetary contributions to the Implementation Review Mechanism, by year, as at 31 July 2018

(United States dollars)

	Extrabudgetary contributions
2010	1 999 600
2011	2 711 000
2012	2 094 500
2013	2 085 900
2014	2 031 100
2015	392 600
2016	814 900
2017	2 100 000
2018	706 000
Total all years	**14 935 600**

Table 5
Extrabudgetary contributors to the Implementation Review Mechanism, by year, as at 31 July 2018

	Extrabudgetary contributors
2010	Canada, France, Germany, Mexico, Netherlands, Norway, Sweden, United Kingdom, United States, UNDP
2011	Australia, Austria, Brazil, Canada, France, Norway, Qatar, Russian Federation, Sweden, Switzerland, United States
2012	Australia, France, Mexico, Norway, Sweden, United States
2013	Australia, France, Italy, Mexico, Morocco, Norway, Qatar, Russian Federation, Sweden, Switzerland, United States
2014	Australia, France, Norway, Oman, Panama, Russian Federation, Saudi Arabia, Sweden, United Kingdom, United States
2015	Australia, France, Mexico, Morocco, Sweden, Turkey, United States
2016	France, Japan, Mexico, Morocco, Norway, Qatar, Russian Federation, Sweden, United States
2017	Austria, Canada, China, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Liechtenstein, Mexico, Panama, Qatar, Russian Federation, Sweden, United States
2018	Australia, European Commission, France, Germany, Mexico, Sweden and Turkey

7. As shown in table 6, the voluntary extrabudgetary contributions received so far cover the costs for the operation of the first cycle and of the first two years of the second cycle of the Review Mechanism. A significant funding shortfall remains with regard to the third and fourth years of the second cycle.

Table 6
Extrabudgetary resource requirements for the functioning of the Implementation Review Mechanism, contributions received and funding shortfall, as at 31 July 2018

(United States dollars)

	Total estimated requirements	*Requirements covered by extrabudgetary contributions*	*Shortfall*
First cycle	10 119 300	10 119 300	-
Subtotal	**10 119 300**	**10 119 300**	-
Second cycle			
First year	1 842 100	1 842 100	-
Second year	2 168 800	2 168 800	-
Third year	1 740 800	805 400	935 400
Fourth year	1 713 200	-	1 713 200
Subtotal	**7 464 900**	**4 816 300**	**2 648 600**
Total, first and second cycles	**17 584 200**	**14 935 600**	**2 648 600**